UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/522,859	04/27/2005	Carlos Portasany Sanchez	P/189-362	4887
2352 7590 02/07/2008 OSTROLENK FABER GERB & SOFFEN 1180 AVENUE OF THE AMERICAS			EXAMINER	
		*	KARIKARI, KWASI	
NEW YORK,	NY 100368403		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2617	
	•		MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
,			02/07/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)		
Office Action Summary		10/522,859	PORTASANY SANCHEZ, CARLOS		
		Examiner	Art Unit		
		Kwasi Karikari	2617		
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address		
A SH WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DANSIONS of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. O period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status					
,	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 11 De This action is FINAL. 2b) This Since this application is in condition for allower closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final.			
Disposit	ion of Claims				
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 1-15 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-15 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.			
Applicat	ion Papers				
10)	The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomplicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine	epted or b) objected to by the liderawing(s) be held in abeyance. Section is required if the drawing(s) is object.	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).		
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 					
2) Notice 3) Information	nt(s) ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) er No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate		

10/522,859 Art Unit: 2617

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments, filed on 12/11/2007 with respect to claims 1-15 in the remarks, have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection necessitated by the new limitations added to claims 1, 9-11 and 15. See the rejection below of claims 1, 9-11 and 15 for relevant citations found in AAPA and Nachef disclosing the newly added limitations.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

- 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
- 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
- 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
- 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

Claims 1-12 are rejected under U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Applicant's Admitted Prior Art (hereinafter AAPA) in view of Nachef et al. (U.S. 20050207562 A1), (hereinafter Nachef).

10/522,859 Art Unit: 2617

Regarding **claims 1 and 9**, AAPA discloses a mobile telephone device (= mobile telephone see Page 1, lines 20-31), comprising:

an integrated circuit card with a subscriber identity module or a universal subscriber identity module, said card comprising a storage operable for storing at least one application (= SIM card application, see Figure 1, items 3A, 4A and Page 1, lines 29-35);

a device operable for remote access management of the card based on remote access message reception by mobile telephony (= SIM card contain remote manager; and remote access to SIM card, see Page 3, lines 11-21 and Page 4, lines 12-35);

at least one data array manager (file manager, 2F) module for managing data arrays of at least one application stored in the card, said at least one data array manager (file manager) module comprising:

a receiver operable to receive, by means of a remote access message received by mobile telephony, at least one instruction for operating on at least one piece of data contained in an array of a specified application (see Page 2, lines 19-35);

an accessing device operable for accessing said array said accessing device further comprising a receiver operable for receiving from the specified application; the accessing device being operable for accessing said array; and apparatus operable for performing at least one operation (= written, read or manipulate, see Page 4, lines 12-35); on said at least one piece of data (Figure 1, item 4D) in said array; but fails to teach an analyzer operable for analyzing said at least one instruction, "requested"

Art Unit: 2617

reference" and "without the necessity of deleting and rewriting the entire specified application stored in the card".

Nachef teaches that application management between cards 2 and 3 and card 2 can perform administration operations such as **addition 201**, **modification 203** and accessing and **installing commands** applets on card 3; followed by an interactive display on the screen; and proactive command (see Pars. [0006,0041-44; 0072-75 0080-0114 and Fig. 1, item 3]); whereby the modification and installing reads on and "without the necessity of deleting and rewriting **the entire** specified application stored in the card.

It would therefore have been obvious to one of the ordinary skill in the art to combine the teaching of Nachef into the system of AAPA for the benefit of achieving a system whereby SIM card that can be modified based on instructions received from an administrator which is supplied with SIM Toolkit standard (see Abstract).

Regarding **claim 2**, as recited in claim 1, Nachef's further teaching of deletion and modification operation on data or applets present in the Sim card (see Abstract), meets the claimed limitations of claim 2.

It would therefore have been obvious to one of the ordinary skill in the art to combine the teaching of Nachef into the system of AAPA for the benefit of achieving a system whereby SIM card that can be modified based on instructions received from an administrator which is supplied with SIM Toolkit standard (see Abstract).

10/522,859

Art Unit: 2617

Regarding **claim 3**, as recited in claim 1, AAPA discloses that said specified application is a SIM Application Toolkit or a Universal SIM Application Toolkit application (Toolkit application 3A and 4A, see Page 4, lines 12-35).

Regarding **claim 4**, as recited in claim 1, Nachef's further teaching of deletion and modification operation on data or applets present in the Sim card (see Abstract), meets the claimed limitations of claim 4.

It would therefore have been obvious to one of the ordinary skill in the art to combine the teaching of Nachef into the system of AAPA for the benefit of achieving a system whereby SIM card that can be modified based on instructions received from an administrator which is supplied with SIM Toolkit standard (see Abstract).

Regarding claim 5, as recited in claim 1, Nachef's further teaching of deletion and modification operation on data or applets present in the Sim card (see Abstract), meets the claimed limitations of claim 5.

It would therefore have been obvious to one of the ordinary skill in the art to combine the teaching of Nachef into the system of AAPA for the benefit of achieving a system whereby SIM card that can be modified based on instructions received from an administrator which is supplied with SIM Toolkit standard (see Abstract).

10/522,859

Art Unit: 2617

Regarding **claim 6**, as recited in claim 5, Nachef's further discloses the device, wherein said data array manager module is an Application Programming interface (loader program consist of an applet programmed in JAVA, see Pars. [0040 and 0068]).

It would therefore have been obvious to one of the ordinary skill in the art to combine the teaching of Nachef into the system of AAPA for the benefit of achieving a system whereby SIM card that can be modified based on instructions received from an administrator which is supplied with SIM Toolkit standard (see Abstract).

Regarding **claim 7**, as recited in claim 1, Nachef's further discloses the device, wherein said device operable for remote access management is based on a GSM 03.48 standard or on a 3GPP 23.048 standard (see Par. [0019]).

It would therefore have been obvious to one of the ordinary skill in the art to combine the teaching of Nachef into the system of AAPA for the benefit of achieving a system whereby SIM card that can be modified based on instructions received from an administrator which is supplied with SIM Toolkit standard (see Abstract).

Regarding **claim 8**, as recited in claim 3, AAPA further discloses that the device comprising a terminal supporting said Subscribe Identity Module (SIM) Application Toolkit or said Universal SIM Application Toolkit and also at least one of a supporting Data Download, and a class "e" terminal supporting the SIM Toolkit commands for channel management (see Page 4, lines 12-35).

10/522,859

Art Unit: 2617

Regarding **claim 10**, as recited in claim 9, Nachef's further teaching of deletion and modification operation on data or applets present in the Sim card (see Pars. 0010-14, 0063, 0081-93 and Abstract), meets the claimed limitations of claim 10.

It would therefore have been obvious to one of the ordinary skill in the art to combine the teaching of Nachef into the system of AAPA for the benefit of achieving a system whereby SIM card that can be modified based on instructions received from an administrator which is supplied with SIM Toolkit standard (see Abstract).

Regarding **claim 11**, as recited in claim 9, AAPA further discloses receiving said message in a terminal of subscriber equipment; sending said message from said terminal to the card; forwarding the <u>at least one</u> instruction via a remote access manager module in the card to a data array manager module identified in the message (OTA messages are received in the subscriber equipment and transmitted to the card, where the remote access manager takes charge of performing the appropriate operation, see Page 2, lines 19-35 and Page 4, lines 21-24).

Regarding **claim 12**, as recited in claim 11, Nachef's further discloses that the message is of the Data Download type (see Pars. [0040-0044]).

It would therefore have been obvious to one of the ordinary skill in the art to combine the teaching of Nachef into the system of AAPA for the benefit of achieving a system whereby SIM card that can be modified based on instructions received from an administrator which is supplied with SIM Toolkit standard (see Abstract).

10/522,859 Art Unit: 2617

3. Claims 13 and 15 are rejected under U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Applicant's Admitted Prior Art in view of Nachef and further in view of Nachef (U.S. 20020137545 A1), (hereinafter Nachef II).

Regarding claim 13, as recited in claim 12, the combination of AAPA and Nachef fail to teach sending of said message to the card by an ENVELOPE command.

Nachef II teaches sending of said message to the card by an ENVELOPE command (see Par. [0068]).

It would therefore have been obvious to one of the ordinary skill in the art to combine the teaching of Nachef II into the system of AAPA and Nachef for the benefit of achieving an increased SIM card Toolkit applications via SIM smart card, thereby increasing processing speed (see Par. [0047]).

Regarding **claim 15**, as recited in claim 13, Nachef further discloses the method, wherein the <u>at least one</u> instruction is forwarded to a data array manager module identified by a Toolkit Application Reference field of the message (see Par. [0063]).

4. Claim 14 is rejected under U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Applicant's Admitted Prior Art in view of Nachef and further in view of Arques et al. (U.S. 20040131083 A1), (hereinafter Arques).

10/522,859 Art Unit: 2617

Regarding **claim 14**, as recited in claim 11, the combination of AAPA and Nachef fail to teach, wherein the message is sent to the card through a Bearer Independent Protocolbased channel.

Arques teaches wherein the message is sent to the card through a Bearer Independent Protocol-based channel (see Par. [0008]).

It would therefore have been obvious to one of the ordinary skill in the art to combine the teaching of Arques into the system of AAPA and Nachef for the benefit of achieving a method for transmitting data in non-connected mode (see Par. [0018]).

Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of 33the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

10/522,859

Art Unit: 2617

Page 10

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kwasi Karikari whose telephone number is 571-272-8566. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (8 am - 4pm). If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Rafael Pérez-Gutiérrez can be reached on 571-272-7915. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8566. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Kwasi Karikari Patent Examiner. 02/02/2008

Rafael Perez-Gutierrez
Supervisory Patent Examiner
Technology Center 2600
Art Unit 2617

214103